

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/821,868 Examiner Mark H. Paschall	HORNER-RICHARDSON ET AL. Art Unit 3742

**All Participants:**

(1) Mark H. Paschall.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. K. Burris.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 July 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

1,16,21,27,33,45,50,55,74

Prior art documents discussed:

na

### Part II.

#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The inclusion of the term "relatively small" is seen as new matter and not patentably defining and Applicant agreed to cancel the same from the claims to place the application in condition for allowance.*

### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)